

**CREATION**  
**God's Scolding of Job**  
**Text: Job 38:1, 42:6**

*-God knows everything about us and we know so little about him.  
-Schools are teaching Evolution vs. CREATION...which do you believe, what proof do  
you have?*

Genesis 1:1	In the beginning...
2 Peter 3:8	A day is like a thousand years and a thousand years like a day.
Psalms 8:1	Observe God's handy work...
Isaiah 45:18	God created the heaven and earth to be inhabited...
Ezekiel 28:11-16	Things created for man
Isaiah 55:8	My thoughts are not your thoughts...
Habakkuk 2:4	The righteous shall live by faith...
Hebrews 10:38, 39	Now the just shall live by faith...
Hebrews 4:12	Word sharper than any two-edged sword
1 Peter 3:15	Be ready to give an answer...

When we speak of time periods, we think of day and night

1. Genesis 1:5 [Solar day](#)
2. John 11:9 Period of 24 hours
3. In the day God made the heavens (not in 12 hours)

Dr. George Lockhart, Bishop  
The Church of the Living God, the Pillar and Ground of the Truth, Inc.  
Lake Park Mission [www.clgpgtlkpk.org](http://www.clgpgtlkpk.org)  
561-252-7791 or [Lockharg@att.net](mailto:Lockharg@att.net)

Bishop Meharry H. Lewis, PhD, Chief Overseer Tuskegee, AL  
Bishop Luke D. Lewis, District Bishop Riviera Beach, FL

[1] Evolution imparts that as species change they ultimately reach ultimate population echelons. As species progress, superior species remove inferior species "survival of the fittest." (Spencer, 1946).


[2] Weak and inferior members of a species should be eliminated for the preservation of superior bloodlines and for the conservation of essential resources. "Nature" doesn't desire "the mating of weaker with stronger individuals, even less does she desire the blending of a higher with a lower race, since if she did, her whole work of higher breeding, over perhaps hundreds of thousands of years, might be ruined with one blow."

[3] "Thus, from the war of nature, from famine and death, the most exalted object which we are capable of conceiving, namely, the production of the higher animals, directly follows."


[4] And as humans are merely a species of animal, we have no intrinsic value and are therefore by no means exempt from "the war of nature." Thus, we have Adolf Hitler (1889-1945) asking the rhetorical question, "should I not also have the right to eliminate millions of an inferior race that multiplies like vermin?" Hitler, of course, is remembered for murdering more than 6,000,000 individual human beings, all of whom he deemed to be inferior members of the species. Was Hitler wrong? Did he misinterpret and misrepresent the theory he claimed to cherish so much? Apparently not. Renowned British evolutionary anthropologist and anatomist Sir Arthur Keith (1866-1955), who was knighted in 1921, came to Hitler's defense, "Hitler is an uncompromising evolutionist, and we must seek for an evolutionary explanation if we are to understand his actions"

[5] Keith reassured us, "The German Führer, as I have consistently maintained, is an evolutionist; he has consciously sought to make the practice of Germany conform to the theory of evolution."

[6] Joseph Stalin (1879-1953), another ardent evolutionist, surpassed even Hitler in zeal, murdering at least ten times as many "inferiors" (estimates range from 60,000,000 to 100,000,000 people). Was Stalin wrong? What about Pol Pot? Well, not if you subscribe to the evolutionary worldview. In fact, to the philosophically consistent, uncompromised evolutionist, Hitler and Stalin ought to be considered role models.



Charles Darwin



Sir Arthur Keith



Adolph Hitler



Joseph Stalin

## How Did the Theory Start?

The abridged version, [Dr. Charles Darwin](#) studied wildlife while on a sea journey and he noticed the deviation in the form of the individual animals. He fathomed that this variation, over time, would permit faunae to evolve to the point that they looked dissimilar. Curiously, this was not an astounding discovery. A person can inspect different varieties of daisies or bats to see this. “This process of changing an organism’s appearance through a series of small changes is correctly called “microevolution” (with an “i”) and is not what we are referring to when we write “the theory of evolution” on this page” (Ross, p. 168). After a series of small evolutionary variations, locust may be larger or changed in color, but it is still locust —not a dog or a cat. [7] (Ross, 1989)

## References

1. Spencer, Herbert (1864). Principles of Biology, 2 vols. London: Williams and Norgate. 2nd edn., (1898-99).[A System of Synthetic Philosophy ; v. 2-3]
2. Hitler, A. (1925). Mein Kampf, Band 1, Verlag Franz Eher Nachfahren, München. (Volume 1, publishing company Fritz Eher and descendants, Munich). 1924, p. 286.
3. Darwin, Charles (1859). The Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life, p. 400.
4. Hitler, A. (1925). Mein Kampf, Band 1, Verlag Franz Eher Nachfahren, München. (Volume 1, publishing company Fritz Eher and descendants, Munich)., 1974, p. 679-680.
5. Keith, Sir Arthur (1946). Essays on Human Evolution, (London: Watts & Co., 210 (cf. Evolution and Ethics, (New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1947), 229.)
6. "Twentieth Century Atlas – Death Tolls". See also: Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn: The Gulag Archipelago 1918–1956, 1973–1976 ISBN 0-8133-3289-3
7. Ross, Hugh, (1989, 1991). The Fingerprint of God, second edition. Orange, CA: Promise Publishing Co. pp. 168